### WO

## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America v.				ORDER OF	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL		
	Jar	don Armani Lafo	rcarde	Case Number: _	CR-13-1291-003-PHX-SRB		
		with the Bail Reforing facts are establi			peen submitted to the Court. I conclude		
×	-	by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.  by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and require the detention of the defendant					
×		eponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and require the detention of the defendant g trial in this case.					
			PART I -	- FINDINGS OF FACT			
	(1)	18 U.S.C. §3142 (e)(2)(A): The defendant has been convicted of a (federal offense)(state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is					
		a crime o	of violence as defined in	18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).			
		an offens	e for which the maximur	m sentence is life imprisonm	ent or death.		
		an offens	e for which a maximum	term of imprisonment of ten	years or more is prescribed in		
		a felony to	hat was committed after d in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(	the defendant had been co 1)(A)-(C), or comparable sta	nvicted of two or more prior federal offenses te or local offenses.		
		device (a	y that involves a minor v s those terms are define r under 18 U.S.C. §2250	ed in section 921), or any oth	ssession or use of a firearm or destructive er dangerous weapon, or involves a failure		
	(2)	18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(B): The offense described in finding 1 was committed while the defendant was on repending trial for a federal, state or local offense.			mmitted while the defendant was on release		
	(3)	18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(C): A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction)(release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding 1.			lapsed since the (date of se described in finding 1.		
	(4)	Findings Nos. (1) will reasonably as not rebutted this	ssure the safety of (an)o	rebuttable presumption that ther person(s) and the comr	no condition or combination of conditions nunity. I further find that the defendant has		
			Alte	ernative Findings			
	(1)	18 U.S.C. 3142(e	e)(3): There is probable	cause to believe that the def	endant has committed an offense		
		for which	a maximum term of imp	risonment of ten years or m	ore is prescribed in		
		under 18	U.S.C. § 924(c), 956(a)	, or 2332b.			
		under 18 prescribe		vhich a maximum term of im	prisonment of 20 years or more is		
		an offens	e involving a minor victi	m under section	.2		
	(2)	The defendant ha	as not rebutted the presu	imption established by findir	ig 1 that no condition or combination of required and the safety of the community.		

 $<sup>^{1}</sup> Insert \ as \ applicable: (a) \ Controlled \ Substances \ Act \ (21 \ U.S.C. \ \S \ 801 \ et \ seq.); (b) \ Controlled \ Substances \ Import \ and \ Export \ Act \ (21 \ U.S.C. \ \S \ 951 \ et \ seq.); or \ (c) \ Section \ 1 \ of \ Act \ of \ Sept. \ 15, \ 1980 \ (21 \ U.S.C. \ \S \ 955a).$ 

 $<sup>{}^{2}\</sup>text{Insert as applicable } 18\,\text{U.S.C.}\,\$\$1201,1591,2241-42,2244(a)(1),2245,2251,2251A,2252(a)(1),2252(a)(2),2252(a)(3,2252(a)(4),2260,2421,2422,2423,\text{ or }2425.$ 

# Case 2:13-cr-01291-SRB Document 43 Filed 12/02/13 Page 2 of 3

	Alternative Findings				
(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.				
(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.				
(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).				
(4)					
	PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)				
(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information <sup>3</sup> submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:				
(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:				
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.				
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.				
	The defendant has a prior criminal history.				
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.				
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.				
	The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of				
	·				
The d	efendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:				

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>$ The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C.  $^{\$}$  3142(f). See 18 U.S.C.  $^{\$}$  3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

### Case 2:13-cr-01291-SRB Document 43 Filed 12/02/13 Page 3 of 3



The defendant appeared on a writ and submitted the issue of detention. Defendant is currently serving a state sentence.

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

#### PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

#### PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATED this 2<sup>nd</sup> day of December, 2013.

United States Magistrate Judge